	Case3906-06-66995-56RBRB DBOGHIBAN 603 FILARE 1017/10720879 Page 4 age 4 of 4		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	STEVEN D. GUGGENHEIM, State Bar No. 201386 CAZ HASHEMI, State Bar No. 210239 CAMERON P. HOFFMAN, State Bar No. 229316 MARK T. OAKES, State Bar No. 234598 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Telephone: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100 Email: moakes@wsgr.com		
9	Brocade Communications Systems, Inc.		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13	3		
14	4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CASE NO.: CR 06-0556 CRB		
15 16) ORDER REGARDING	əsedi	
17)		
18	JENSEN,)		
19	Defendants.)		
20	0)		
21	1		
22	2		
23	3		
24	4		
25	5		
26	6		
27	7		
28	8		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. CR 06-REGARDING CONFIDENTIALITY	0556 CRE	

Case 5.06-26-00-555-56RBDD00HBAnt 100-3 Fifted 1/1/10/2007 age Page 12 of 4

1	STIPULATION		
2	WHEREAS, this is a criminal action brought against defendants Gregory Reyes and		
3	Stephanie Jensen;		
4	WHEREAS, pursuant to Rule 17 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, defendant		
5	Gregory Reyes has issued a subpoena to produce documents to third party Brocade		
6	Communications Systems, Inc. ("Brocade");		
7	WHEREAS, defendant Gregory Reyes has also issued subpoenas to third party Brocade		
8	in a related civil action brought by the Securities and Exchange Commission, Case No. C 06-		
9	04435 CRB, also pending before this Court (the "SEC Action");		
10	WHEREAS, in order to protect the confidentiality of confidential information produced		
11	in the SEC Action, defendant Gregory Reyes and Brocade agreed to a [Proposed] Stipulated		
12	Confidentiality Order ("Confidentiality Order"), docket number 68-1, a copy of which is		
13	attached hereto as Exhibit A;		
14	WHEREAS, Brocade also wishes to protect the confidentiality of confidential		
15	information that may be produced to defendant Greg Reyes in this action;		
16	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the undersigned,		
17			
18	number 68-1, shall also apply in this action.		
19			
20	Dated: January 10, 2007 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation		
21	Troissional Cospolation		
22	By: /s/ Caz Hashemi		
23	Caz Hashemi		
24	Attorneys for Third Party Brocade Communications Systems, Inc.		
25			
26			
27			
28			

<	Case 3:06-26-56556-268 BRB DOCUMENT 100-3	Filed 01/17/0/2007 Page 3 of 4
1 2 3 4	Dated: January 10, 2007	SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP 300 South Grand, Suite 3400 Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 687-5000 Facsimile: (213) 687-5600
5		By: /s/ Jack DiCanio
6		Jack DiCanio
7		Attorneys for Defendant Gregory Reyes
8		∕ }
10	[DDAPACI	ORDER
11	PURSUANT TO THE FOREGOING STIPULA	as were
12		A so orazzaza.
13		
14	Dated: Juny 11, 2007	116-1
15		EHONORÁBLE CHARLES R. BREYER ited States District Judge
16		· ·
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
2627		

Case 3:06-cr-00556-CRB Document 103 Filed 01/17/0720 Page 4 of 13 of 4

1	I, Mark T. Oakes, am the ECF User whose identification and password are being used to	
2	file this STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING CONFIDENTIALITY. In	
3	compliance with General Order 45.X.B, I hereby attest that Jack DiCanio has concurred in this	
4	filing.	
5		
6	Dated: January 10, 2007 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation	
7	r totessionar Corporation	
8	/s/ Mark T. Oakes	
9	Mark T. Oakes	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
2526		
27		
28		
1	.1	

Exhibit A

NINA F. LOCKER, State Bar No. 123838 1 STEVEN D. GUGGENHEIM, State Bar No. 201386 CAZ HASHEMI, State Bar No. 210239 2 CAMERON P. HOFFMAN, State Bar No. 229316 MARK T. OAKES, State Bar No. 234598 3 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI 4 Professional Corporation 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 5 Telephone: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100 Email: moakes@wsgr.com 7 8 Attorneys for Third Party Brocade Communications Systems, Inc. 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 13 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE CASE NO.: C 06-04435 CRB 14 COMMISSION, 15 [PROPOSED] STIPULATED Plaintiff. CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER 16 v. 17 GREGORY L. REYES, STEPHANIE JENSEN and ANTONIO CANOVA, 18 19 Defendants. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1 2

3 4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

In order to protect the confidentiality of confidential information subpoenaed by defendant Gregory L. Reves in this case, third party Brocade Communications Systems, Inc. ("Brocade") and defendant Gregory L. Reyes hereby agree as follows:

- Brocade may designate as "confidential" (by stamping the relevant page or as 1. otherwise set forth herein) any document or response to a subpoena which it considers in good faith to: (i) contain information involving trade secrets, or confidential business or financial information, subject to protection under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or California law; or (ii) contain confidential information of a person that is protected by a right of privacy under applicable law. Where a document or response consists of more than one page, the first page and each page on which confidential information appears shall be so designated.
- 2. Brocade may designate information disclosed during a deposition as "confidential" by so indicating on the record at the deposition and requesting the preparation of a separate transcript of such material. Additionally, Brocade may designate in writing, within twenty (20) days after receipt of the deposition transcript for which the designation is proposed, that specific pages of the transcript be treated as "confidential" information. Any party may object to such proposal, in writing or on the record. Upon such objection, the procedures described in paragraph 7 below shall be followed. After any designation made according to the procedure set forth in this paragraph, the designated documents or information shall be treated according to the designation until the matter is resolved according to the procedures described in paragraph 7 below.
- 3. All information produced by third party Brocade to defendant Gregory L. Reves in the course of this case (other than information that is publicly available) shall be used solely for the purpose of this case and any related criminal or civil proceedings currently pending.
- 4. Except with the prior written consent of Brocade, or upon prior order of this Court obtained upon notice to Brocade, anything designated as confidential pursuant to the terms of this Order ("Confidential Information") shall not be disclosed to any person other than:

Case 3:06-cr-00556 CRB DOCHMENT 102 Filed 01/17/07 Dage 3 of 13 of 3

1	(a)	counsel for the respective parties to this litigation who have agreed to be
2		bound by the terms of this Order, including defendants' counsel and
3		counsel for the Securities and Exchange Commission;
4	(b)	employees of such counsel;
5	(c)	individual defendants, to the extent deemed necessary by counsel for the
6		prosecution or defense of this litigation;
7	(d)	consultants or expert witnesses retained for the prosecution or defense of
8		this litigation, provided that each such person shall execute a copy of the
9		Certification annexed to this Order (which shall be retained by counsel to
10		the party so disclosing the Confidential Information and made available
11		for inspection during the pendency or after the termination of the action
12		upon good cause shown and upon order of the Court) before being shown
13		or given any Confidential Information and provided that if the party
14		chooses a consultant or expert employed by one of Brocade
15		Communications Systems, Inc's competitors or customers, the party shall
16		notify Brocade Communications Systems, Inc. before disclosing any
17		Confidential Information to that individual and shall give Brocade
18		Communications Systems, Inc. an opportunity to move for a protective
19		order preventing or limiting such disclosure;
20	(e)	any authors or recipients of the Confidential Information;
21	(f)	the Court, Court personnel and court reporters; and
22	(g)	witnesses, at deposition and/or trial, only to the extent necessary to give
23		their testimony. At the request of any party, the portion of the transcript
24		involving the Confidential Information shall be designated "Confidential"
25		pursuant to paragraph 2 above. Witnesses shown Confidential
26		Information shall not be allowed to retain copies.
27		

28

- 2
 3
 4

- 5. Any persons receiving Confidential Information shall not reveal or discuss such information to or with any person who is not entitled to receive such information, except as set forth herein.
- 6. For applications and motions to the Court on which a party submits Confidential Information, all documents and chamber copies containing Confidential Information which are submitted to the Court shall be filed with the Court in sealed envelopes or other appropriate sealed containers. On the outside of the envelopes, a copy of the first page of the document shall be attached. If Confidential Information is included in the first page attached to the outside of the envelopes, it may be deleted from the outside copy. The word "CONFIDENTIAL" shall be stamped on the envelope and a statement substantially in the following form shall also be printed on the envelope:

This envelope is sealed pursuant to order of the Court, contains Confidential Information and is not to be opened or the contents revealed, except by Order of the Court or agreement by the parties.

In the event that the Clerk's Office refuses to accept a document filed under seal, the filing party shall, not later than the next business day, file a notice indicating which documents the party attempted to file. The filing party shall also serve a copy of the rejected filing by overnight mail on Brocade. If Brocade wishes to contest the public filing of the document it must file a motion to that effect within five (5) business days of the initial filing date. If no motion is received, the filing party may file the document publicly within seven (7) business days of the initial filing. If a motion is filed to permit the filing to be made under seal, the filing party may not file the document with the confidential material included therein until the motion is resolved. This procedure shall not affect any Court order or other rule regarding the scheduling for future filings.

7. If a party contends that any material is not entitled to confidential treatment, such party may at any time give written notice to Brocade. Brocade shall have twenty-five (25) days from the receipt of such written notice to apply to the Court for an order designating the material as confidential.

- 8. Notwithstanding any challenge to the designation of material as Confidential Information, all documents designated as confidential shall be treated as such and shall be subject to the provisions hereof unless and until one of the following occurs:
 - (a) Brocade withdraws such designation in writing; or
 - (b) Brocade fails to apply to the Court for an order designating the material confidential within the time period specified above after receipt of a written challenge to such designation; or
 - (c) the Court rules the material is not Confidential Information.
- 9. All provisions of this Order restricting the communication or use of Confidential Information shall continue to be binding after the conclusion of this action, unless otherwise agreed or ordered. Upon conclusion of the litigation, a party in the possession of Confidential Information, other than that which is contained in pleadings, correspondence and transcripts, shall either (a) return such documents to counsel for the party or non-party who provided such information no later than thirty (30) days after a request by such counsel, or (b) destroy such documents within ninety (90) days following the conclusion of this action and all appeals and certify in writing within thirty (30) days following destruction that the documents have been destroyed.
- 10. If confidential information is inadvertently produced without marking it as such, it may be disclosed to others until the receiving party becomes aware of the error. As soon as the receiving party becomes aware of the inadvertent production, the information must be treated as though it had been timely designated under this Confidentiality Order, and the receiving party must endeavor in good faith to obtain all copies of the documents that it distributed or disclosed to persons not authorized by Paragraphs 4 herein, as well as any copies made by such persons.
- 11. Nothing herein shall be deemed to waive any applicable privilege or work product protection, or to affect the ability of a party to seek relief for an inadvertent disclosure of material protected by privilege or work product protection.
- 12. This Order shall not apply to or affect the use of information already in the possession of a party or obtained by a party outside the course of discovery in this litigation.

	Case 3:06-civentation CBB Document	1832 File 1 01/17/07/2007 9 11 of 13 of 89
1	Respectfully submitted,	
2	Dated: January 10, 2007	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation
3		Tolessional Corporation
4		Pro /s/ Coz Hoshami
5		By: /s/ Caz Hashemi Caz Hashemi
6		Attorneys for Third Party Brocade Communications Systems, Inc.
7		Brocade Communications Systems, me.
8	Dated: January 10, 2007	SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
9		300 South Grand, Suite 3400 Los Angeles, CA 90071
10		Telephone: (213) 687-5000 Facsimile: (213) 687-5600
11		1 desimilar (215) 667 5 666
12		By: /s/ Jack DiCanio
13		Jack DiCanio
14		Attorneys for Defendant Gregory L. Reyes
15		
16		
17	THE FOREGOING STIPULATION IS APPROVED AND IS SO ORDERED.	
18		
19		
20	Dated:, 2007	The Honorable Charles R. Breyer
21		United States District Judge
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

APPENDIX A

1

2 CERTIFICATION 3 I hereby certify my understanding that Confidential Information is being provided to me 4 pursuant to the terms and restrictions of the Confidentiality Stipulation and Order dated in Securities and Exchange Commission v. Gregory L. Reyes, 5 Stephanie Jensen and Antonio Canova, Case No. C 06-04435 CRB (N.D. Cal.). I have been 6 given a copy of that Order and read it. I agree to be bound by the Order. I will not reveal the 7 Confidential Information to anyone, except as allowed by the Order. I will maintain all such 8 9 Confidential Information -- including copies, notes or other transcriptions made therefrom -- in a secure manner to prevent unauthorized access to it. No later than thirty (30) days after the 10 conclusion of this action, I will return the Confidential Information -- including copies, notes or 11 12 other transcriptions made therefrom -- to the counsel who provided me with the Confidential 13 Information. I hereby consent to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the 14 Northern District of California for the purpose of enforcing the Confidentiality Order. 15 16 SIGNATURE: 17 DATED: 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

1	I, Mark T. Oakes, am the ECF User whose identification and password are being used to		
2	file this [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER. In compliance with		
3	General Order 45.X.B, I hereby attest that Jack DiCanio has concurred in this filing.		
4			
5	Dated: January 10, 2007 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation	Ĺ	
6	1 Totessional Corporation		
7	By: /s/ Mark T. Oakes		
8	By: /s/ Mark T. Oakes Mark T. Oakes		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
2526			
27			
28			
20			

CARS 1000 EVENORES COPPED DOCUMENT 15032 FINAL ON 7/107/2007/9 Page 38 of 8